Essays by Slavoj Zizek – The Pure Renditions of Sirohi as its Recent Constellation

By Slavoj Zizek (2000 – 2026)

Introduction

By Slavoj Zizek

I am happy with these genius essays. It reads as a surreal mash up and is like a Hebrew square of news pieces on people partying and poor people in fact agitating and this skewed to in fact French philosophers living in India and talking to Sirohi and this then skews to the disorganized working class and philosophers in a long history of the 1970s. I also argue that the trade union is strong it means. I call this not a Lacanian Square but a Sirohian Square.

I. Global Village and its Problems of Political Disavowals

And so a lot of global village talking, from somewhere in England a cartoon on the Left becomes news for Shiv Sena another cartoonist on the left. And like that it merges and becomes a whole news work on the process of media following the wrong tendencies in Europe that India is a far right election campaign. I mean how this news flows is finally a global village where everyone then starts doing a Facebook conversation on the news. I mean it is all best seen as the process of culture-ideology machine is to be attacked for the working class and poor to be seen in a radical political process.

Sirohi argues, this old essay by me is finally the best essay or journalistic work and I argue that in fact it is now edited by me in 2026, that in fact the news is always viral on the right earlier in the crisis and now it is fully led by us in old newspaper readings and such high alternate media of Hegel type and that was Soviet history and its point.

I mean now a mash-up of crime, and politics and rap and such talking of gangsters and criminals and how the poor have just poverty and hate it or love it, this is how I live it is my life, I am an entrepreneur. It just means in fact that the poor live in such poverty and they try to get a decent job. In fact the new abstract problem as Istabal Mesravan would argue in his form of writings, that is in that sense just the problem of labour, employment and wage which is being dismantled across the world except for America and Communist countries. I now call this a soap opera in EU and World Bank, IMF meetings with European presidents and I call this a mash up.

II. Economic Modelling and its Ideologies

Earlier in the 2000s I wrote on economics and game theory by Yanis Varoufakis as the best solution for the crisis in Europe and is also the best theory coming out. I also meant it is now by the 2010 – 2019 period a full crisis in Europe that Yanis Varaoufakis is fighting against and winning somehow like an Event.

Now in 2026, I argue economics is full of ideologies. It should be seen then as Keynes they argue, this public sector flow model with new symbolic economics of scholarships and creativity and impure exchanges which become a type of hello and all that a coffee person does and offers a cheaper coffee to a woman student.

Now I mash up these two news pieces and argue that is today’s object a in economics – the process of in fact supporting the poor again as Amartya Sen argues is its actual object a.

III. Power and Ideology – Against Michel Foucault who claims it is Ordoliberalism which is then in fact Power and he means we can

> only fight it in standard fused groups and it is that simple demonstration of the people which is worker figure and I add peasants only.

Now it sounds outdated to Europeans and American audiences, every phase comes with a new figure and it fades for such mass publics for philosophy. Foucault is now old ideas of the 1970s and in fact it is Sirohi the new figure. But Sirohi is very into Foucault and is a preference for in fact his life in India, and against the public figure philosopher approach. He is just finding life irrelevant he hopes and is seen that way. Quite like Milner but mainstream.

I am now criticizing Foucault for his power analysis and his point to Sirohi, we are free in India. It is all biopolitics he means and such stuff.

I now see people waiting to meet Sirohi, he is declaring he can predict the meeting exactly in Biblical manners and is following his Reverend and preist and Pope as that is exact. He argues now to shift the analysis to in fact Church life and St. Paul. Foucault means just this, that Churches is how to live after that type of politics against the poor.

Agamben is this image of a man walking free at a Church. We love this as news.

IV. 2000 – 2019 and Such a Depression and Fever

I am really sad. I see happy people everywhere and I am sad. I have fever now is a joke Sirohi gives me. I argue without him I would argue I am sad.

I see them talking, Sirohi and his comrades Nayan and all, that there is a pharmaceutical industry and all that. They are being Franco Bifo Berardi like as Sirohi imagines. We all need an intellectual process, Bifo means. Not the old trade unions alone.

Sirohi recently edited a work for us and argued for Peter Weiss and said it is a popular front and class against class tactic that is Balibar's point. He meant Weiss will join the process. I call that India. I argue the popular front is talked about as an intellectual front. We just sit and listen to Sirohi claiming it is that. He is vertical divinity and a really popular to the poorest leader.

I mean disorganize this process and that is the world without Sirohi. It is also Balibar that wins for Sirohi. I also mean now edit this to cinematic footage of Walter Rodney and British Marxism enjoying the debate, it was always this to them Communism.

I also mean finally unfold the news – Microsoft is marketeable computers. Such news reels and such Phenomenology of Spirit.

V. Lenin, just that is an Event, the rest I have to argue are non-Events, except Obama there a genius.

Arguing out the ethical dimensions of Marxism is now Sirohi's favourite job. He means it is concrete and argues out the Spanish peasant movement and protest right now against spurious alcoholism and public sector exploitation.
Now in the 2000s I argue let Channel V join us.